

Topic	Question	Adler	Casar	Gallo	Garza	Houston	Kitchen	Pool	Renteria	Tovo	Troxclair	Zimmerman
Austin Resource Recovery												
Waste collection and diversion	Does Council support current Zero Waste Master Plan goals with diversion program enhancements?			Supports recycling.		Supports Zero Waste Master Plan goals with diversion program enhancements assuming appropriate public engagement and education initiatives. <i>"Everybody in the City supports recycling as a value, I do...but many people don't know about it...I think education is the piece we've been missing."</i>	Supports Zero Waste Master Plan goals with diversion program enhancements.	Supports Zero Waste Master Plan goals with diversion program enhancements.	Received constituent feedback in favor of Zero Waste.	Supports Zero Waste Master Plan goals with diversion program enhancements.	Received feedback from constituents who were unable to express their opposition to expanded recycling and curbside organics via the online survey.	Expressed concern about survey effectiveness.
	Does Council support full implementation of an organics collection program? If so, is a 5 or 3 year rollout preferable?	Believes the Council is receiving holistic information from both staff and community members. Noted that additional cost of organics collection is a small percentage of median family income.		Interested in an opt-in (or at least opt-out) organics program; noted that organics can be diverted with home-based composting containers. Concerned about over-regulating citizens and about costs for those who don't use the service. Noted that although additional costs are a small percentage of median family income, they are costs the City can control.	Noted that additional cost of organics collection is a small percentage of median family income and that the benefits outweigh the costs. Concerned that unsustainable practices will disproportionately affect lower-income districts.	Concerned about waste diversion and composting in City Hall. Places a priority on education prior to rollout of new services. Invites ARR representatives to participate in town hall meetings and connect with other district representatives. Looking forward to the new Reuse Collection Center.	Supports full implementation in a 5 year rollout. Interested in information about opt-in or opt-out option; concerned about costs and wants to give citizens as much control over their costs as possible.	Supports full implementation. Would prefer a 3-year rollout, but is comfortable with a 5-year rollout depending on budget constraints. Does not want to roll out in any longer than 5 years. <i>"This is a program that the City supports and believes in and I am very happy to lend my voice to that support publicly from the dais."</i>	Uses organics collection in his own household and is pleased with the results.	Supports full implementation. Interested in seeing costs of 3-year implementation in comparison to 5-year implementation. Noted that savings will be captured because additional landfill space will not be needed.	Concerned about any additional city-wide cost of living, no matter the amount. Interested in the possibility of graduated fee structure, opt-in/opt-out options and possibility of reduced cost of waste and recycling collection by outside entity. <i>"I am really concerned about the additional cost of living that this is going to put on everybody city-wide."</i>	Believes that costs could be reduced if waste and recycling were bid out to an outside entity. Expressed concern that staff might not be giving comprehensive, holistic recommendations for the City's trash collection services.

Topic	Question	Adler	Casar	Gallo	Garza	Houston	Kitchen	Pool	Renteria	Tovo	Troxclair	Zimmerman
Health and Human Services	How should enhancements in service areas be prioritized relative to other funding needs?	Proud to be part of a city that is prioritizing Health and Human Services. Interested in staff opinion regarding prioritization of funding areas for proposed \$8.3M funding.		Places priority on areas supported by data.	Interested in staff opinion regarding prioritization of funding areas. Would like to see funding to bridge gap in federal child care funding on priority list.	Would like to see creative options for employing the homeless population.	Would like to see services provided to homeless people outside the city center in addition to those downtown.	Enhancements should be prioritized comprehensively.				
	Does Council want to stay on track in the FY 2016-17 budget with adopted funding goals?			Values the work of the Health and Human Services department, and would like to see them continue to partner with other community service organizations. Interested to know what funding and services Travis County provides.	Supports Health and Human Services as a priority while acknowledging current budget challenges. Would like to come as close to proposed \$8.3M funding as possible.			Supports staying on track within the larger budgetary picture. Wants to sustain past progress as much as possible.				